## 319. Dinitrogen Trioxide. Part I. Stability in the Gaseous Phase.

By I. R. Beattie and S. W. Bell.

By use of an all-glass apparatus the equilibrium between nitrogen dioxide, dinitrogen tetroxide, nitric oxide, and dinitrogen trioxide has been studied in the gas phase at $5^{\circ}, 15^{\circ}, 25^{\circ}, 35^{\circ}$, and $45^{\circ}$. The equilibrium constant at each temperature has been determined over a wide concentration range, enabling thermodynamic constants to be obtained by extrapolation to zero pressure. Values of $\Delta S^{\circ}$ and $\Delta H^{\circ}$ are given.

Although the existence of dinitrogen trioxide has long been assumed very little is known of its properties. It is nevertheless of considerable interest. It has been suggested that it is the active species in $N$-nitrosation and an anticatalyst in nitrations involving the nitronium ion. ${ }^{1}$ It is also important in the formation of certain complexes, ${ }^{2}$ while the majority of systems containing oxides of nitrogen contain amounts of dinitrogen trioxide

[^0]dependent on both the temperature and the composition of the mixture. Several investigators have studied dinitrogen trioxide, although the range of stability of the pure compound is not known. Lipscomb and Reed's ${ }^{3} X$-ray analyses did not yield a satisfactory structure.

Abel and Proisl, ${ }^{4}$ using Bodenstein's values ${ }^{5}$ for the dinitrogen tetroxide-nitrogen dioxide equilibrium, evaluated the equilibrium constant for the reaction (l) over a wide

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3} \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{NO}+\mathrm{NO}_{2} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

concentration range at several different temperatures. Extrapolation to zero pressure gave the values at $8.13^{\circ}$ and $34.8^{\circ}$ as 0.539 and 2.39 atm . respectively. The results yield a value for the heat of reaction of 9600 cal. mole ${ }^{-1}$ (a fuller account which was to have been given. in Z. phys. Chem. did not appear). Insufficient data are given ${ }^{4}$ to plot the results in the manner now to be described. Verhoek and Daniels ${ }^{6}$ studied the equilibrium (1), but the equilibrium constants they obtained ( 2.105 at $25^{\circ}, 3.673$ at $35^{\circ}$, and 6.880 atm . at $45^{\circ} ; \Delta H^{\circ} 10,300 \mathrm{cal} . \mathrm{mole}^{-1}$ ) differed considerably from those of Abel and Proisl.

In view of the considerable discrepancies between the two sets of observations, and since the equilibrium in the gas phase is of considerable analytical importance, it was decided to reinvestigate it.

## Experimental

All work was carried out in a vacuum system.
Nitric Oxide.-This was prepared as described by Johnston and Giauque, ${ }^{7}$ except that the theoretical quantities of potassium nitrite and potassium iodide were used. The first and the last portion of each distillate from the original material were rejected. Johnston and Giauque reported that they obtained a blue liquid, while Nightingale et al. ${ }^{8}$ have recently stated that the colour of their product was pale straw-green. We obtained apparently colourless nitric oxide.

Nitrogen Dioxide.-" AnalaR" lead nitrate which had been dried for several days at 200 $250^{\circ}$ was heated at this temperature in vacuo. On raising the temperature to approximately $340^{\circ}$ gases were collected in a trap cooled in solid carbon dioxide after passage through a phosphoric oxide column. The product was distilled in an atmosphere of oxygen. After removal of the oxygen the mixture was again distilled, the first and the last fraction being rejected.

An accurately weighed ampoule was partially filled by distillation, sealed, and separated at a previously made constriction. After rigorous cleaning the ampoule was reweighed. This technique was checked several times by using no nitrogen dioxide. The results indicated a high order of reproducibility for the weighings if a standard method of treatment was followed exactly. All joints were carefully greased with I.C.I. "Florube" W grease, so that a minimum quantity came into contact with the nitrogen dioxide.

Apparatus and Technique.-An all-glass apparatus was used as we found that all possible greases either reacted with or absorbed oxides of nitrogen during the experiment. Fluorinated greases appear to be unreactive, but extensive physical sorption occurs. The reaction vessel, $A$, and pressure measuring system are shown in Fig. 1. An ampoule containing nitrogen dioxide was introduced into the internally calibrated tube $B$, together with a steel-inglass breaker. Tube $B$ was subsequently joined to the vacuum line via a constriction $C$. The apparatus was continuously pumped for at least 2 days, since it was impracticable to flame out the glassware. By surrounding the projection $D$ with liquid air a quantity of nitric oxide could be distilled into the reaction system. The constriction at $C$ was sealed after pumping on the nitric oxide for a short while. The nitric oxide was allowed to attain a steady temperature, the pressure was noted, and the nitrogen dioxide ampoule broken. Complete mixing of the gases was ensured by successively freezing them out in $D$, followed by leaving the mixture

[^1]for at least two days to come to equilibrium. Pressures were then measured at temperatures near $5^{\circ}, 15^{\circ}, 25^{\circ}, 35^{\circ}$, and $45^{\circ}$. At the end of the experiment the tube $B$ was cut squarely in the undistorted portion just below $C$, the distance from a reference point to the cut being noted. The volume of the removed cup, the ampoule, and the magnetic breaker was measured. The internal volume of the main apparatus (at least 1 l.) was eventually found up to the same reference point in $B$.

Pressures were measured by using a sensitive spiral gauge with a 3 -metre optical beam as a null instrument. The doubletube mercury manometer, having a common reservoir, was constructed of Chance's " Veridea" tubing ( 1.6 cm . internal diam.). The pressure on the spiral gauge was varied by the introduction of dry air, fine adjustment being possible by means of tap $G$. Pressure readings are expressed in international atmospheres and are accurate to


Fig. 1. $\pm 5 \times 10^{-5}$ international atmospheres. All weighings were carried out with calibrated weights, and the thermometers were standardised against a previously calibrated ten-junction copper-constantan thermocouple.

## Discussion

In a mixture containing only nitrogen dioxide, dinitrogen tetroxide, nitric oxide, and dinitrogen trioxide, equations (2-5) are valid for ideal gases, where $p$ (with symbol in parentheses) is the partial pressure at equilibrium, $p^{0}$ is the initial partial pressure [ $p^{0}\left(\mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right)$, no dissociation being assumed], and $P=$ the total pressure at equilibrium.

$$
\begin{gather*}
K_{1}=p^{2}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{2}\right) / p\left(\mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right)  \tag{2}\\
p^{0}(\mathrm{NO})=p(\mathrm{NO})+p\left(\mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}\right)  \tag{3}\\
2 p^{0}\left(\mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right)=2 p\left(\mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right)+p\left(\mathrm{NO}_{2}\right)+p\left(\mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}\right)  \tag{4}\\
P=p\left(\mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right)+p\left(\mathrm{NO}_{2}\right)+p(\mathrm{NO})+p\left(\mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}\right) \tag{5}
\end{gather*}
$$

From eqns. (5) and (4), $\quad 2 p(\mathrm{NO}) \doteq 2 P-2 p^{0}\left(\mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right)-p\left(\mathrm{NO}_{2}\right)-p\left(\mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}\right)$
which with eqn. (3) yields $p(\mathrm{NO})=2 P-2 p^{0}\left(\mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right)-p\left(\mathrm{NO}_{2}\right)-p^{0}(\mathrm{NO})$ (6) (cf. ref. 6)
From eqns. (5), (3), and (2), $p^{2}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{2}\right)+K_{1} p\left(\mathrm{NO}_{2}\right)+K_{1}\left[p^{0}(\mathrm{NO})-P\right]=0$
Whence for real roots $p\left(\mathrm{NO}_{2}\right)=\frac{1}{2}\left(-K_{1}+\sqrt{ }\left\{K_{1}{ }^{2}+4 K_{1}\left[P-p^{0}(\mathrm{NO})\right]\right\}\right)$
Clearly from (3), (6), and (7) it is possible to evaluate $K_{2}$ where

$$
K_{2}=p(\mathrm{NO}) \cdot p\left(\mathrm{NO}_{2}\right) / p\left(\mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}\right)
$$

A reaction involving dinitrogen tetroxide would yield an equilibrium constant $K_{3}=K_{\mathbf{2}}{ }^{2} / K_{1}$ and $K_{3}$ and $K_{2}$ would presumably each have a different dependence on concentration, as pointed out by Verhoek and Daniels. With the two equilibria involved this does not appear to be relevant to the problem.

It is extremely unlikely that any molecular species other than $\mathrm{NO}, \mathrm{NO}_{2}, \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$, and $\mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ is present at equilibrium. This does not exclude the possibility of the presence of structural isomers for $\mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ and $\mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}$, although these would not markedly affect the pressure dependence of the results.

The experimental results are given in Table 1 [data for the nitrogen dioxide-dinitrogen
tetroxide equilibrium were calculated from the material and by the method recommended by Giauque and Kemp ${ }^{9}$. It is evident that quite wide variations occur in $K_{2}$. These deviations may be due to non-ideality of the gases, sorption, or variations in $K_{1}$ with pressure. Although the amount of gas sorbed on the walls of the vessel necessary to form a monolayer would cause errors in the pressure readings only within the experimental

Table 1. Experimental observations on the dinitrogen trioxide equilibrium and calculated equilibrium constants.

| Temp. | $\begin{gathered} A^{*} \\ (\mathrm{~atm} .) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Vol. } \\ & \text { (ml.) } \dagger \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} P \\ (\mathrm{~atm} .) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} K_{2} \\ (\mathrm{~atm} .) \end{gathered}$ | Temp. | $\begin{gathered} A * \\ \text { (atm.) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Vol. } \\ & (\mathrm{ml} .) ~ \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} P \\ \text { (atm.) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} K_{\mathbf{2}} \\ (\mathrm{atm} .) \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $4.96{ }^{\circ}$ | 1.48728 | $1265 \cdot 5$ | $0 \cdot 58548$ | 0.374 | $5 \cdot 00^{\circ}$ | 0.50110 | 1269.1 | 0.44680 | 0.478 |
| 15.00 |  | (1268.3) | $0 \cdot 63033$ | 0.752 | 15.01 |  | (1271-9) | $0 \cdot 47492$ | 0.909 |
| 25.04 | (0.30129) |  | $0 \cdot 68017$ | $1 \cdot 34$ | $25 \cdot 12$ | (0.36709) |  | 0.50559 | 1.66 |
| 35.08 |  |  | 0.73626 | $2 \cdot 29$ | $35 \cdot 02$ |  |  | 0.53658 | 2.71 |
| $45 \cdot 12$ |  |  | 0.79774 | $3 \cdot 83$ | $45 \cdot 10$ |  |  | 0.56892 | $4 \cdot 48$ |
| 4.96 | 1.21744 | $1263 \cdot 8$ | 0.56971 | $0 \cdot 409$ | 15.00 | $2 \cdot 10492$ | $1272 \cdot 1$ | $0 \cdot 60501$ | $0 \cdot 606$ |
| $15 \cdot 00$ |  | (1266.9) | 0.61060 | 0.776 |  | (0.12580) | (1274.5) | 0.60501 | $0 \cdot 606$ |
| 25.04 | (0.34391) |  | 0.65754 | 1.43 | 24.97 $35 \cdot 01$ | (0.12580) | (1274.5) | 0.65907 0.72206 | 1.12 |
| 35.08 |  |  | $0 \cdot 70769$ | $2 \cdot 30$ | 35.01 45.01 |  |  | 0.72206 0.79228 | 1.95 3.23 |
| $45 \cdot 12$ |  |  | 0.76315 | 3.76 | 45.01 |  |  | $0 \cdot 79228$ | $3 \cdot 23$ |
| $4 \cdot 96$ | 0.84238 | $1264 \cdot 5$ | 0.24187 | 0.435 | 4.95 | 1-34501 | 1273.1 | 0.34848 | 0.353 |
| $15 \cdot 00$ |  | (1267.6) | 0.26480 | 0.759 | 14.92 |  | (1275-5) | 0.37960 | 0.692 |
| 25.04 | (0.05488) |  | 0.29187 | 1.52 | 24.96 | (0.05856) |  | 0.41736 | $1 \cdot 29$ |
|  |  |  |  |  | $35 \cdot 12$ |  |  | $0 \cdot 46101$ | $2 \cdot 26$ |
| 4.96 | $0 \cdot 47498$ | 1271.5 | 0.67870 | 0.457 | 45-12 |  |  | $0 \cdot 50843$ | 3.79 |
| 15.00 |  | (1274.5) | 0.71589 | 0.869 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 25.04 | (0.63231) |  | $0 \cdot 75555$ | 1.59 | 4.95 | 1.33390 | $1270 \cdot 7$ | 0.72332 | 0.417 |
| 35.08 |  |  | 0.79579 | 2.65 | 14.97 |  | (1273.1) | 0.77215 | 0.799 |
| $45 \cdot 12$ |  |  | 0.83678 | $4 \cdot 60$ | 25.04 | (0.49548) |  | 0.82707 | 1.46 |
| $5 \cdot 03$ | $0 \cdot 53386$ | $1269 \cdot 2$ | $0 \cdot 63475$ | 0.476 | 34.99 |  |  | 0.88559 | $2 \cdot 41$ |
| 15.08 |  | (1272.4) | 0.67120 | 0.971 | 45.04 |  |  | 0.94984 | 3.94 |
| 25.07 | (0.56959) |  | $0 \cdot 70951$ | $1 \cdot 61$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| 35-11 |  |  | $0 \cdot 74995$ | $2 \cdot 77$ | 15.00 | $2 \cdot 10885$ | $1271 \cdot 6$ | 0.83070 | 0.655 |
| 45-19 |  |  | 0.79087 | $4 \cdot 52$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{2 5 . 0 1} \\ & \mathbf{3 5 . 0 7} \end{aligned}$ | (0.38256) | (1274.2) | $\begin{aligned} & 0.89544 \\ & 0.96888 \end{aligned}$ | 1.19 2.06 |
| $5 \cdot 05$ | 0.45360 | $1268 \cdot 4$ | 0.71440 | 0.463 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15.03 |  | (1271-4) | 0.75387 | 0.952 | 14.95 | $2 \cdot 22996$ | $1270 \cdot 9$ | 0.85894 | 0.670 |
| 25.07 | (0.67577) |  | 0.79364 | $1 \cdot 68$ | 25.04 | (0.38471) | (1273.3) | 0.92652 | 1.24 |
| 35.04 |  |  | $0 \cdot 83449$ | 2.77 | $35 \cdot 05$ |  |  | 1.00249 | $2 \cdot 16$ |
| 45.21 |  |  | $0 \cdot 87689$ | 4.62 |  |  |  |  |  |
| $5 \cdot 01$ | 0.87667 | 1268.0 | 0.79707 | $0 \cdot 427$ | 4.99 | 0.52400 | $1268 \cdot 1$ | 0.43329 | 0.471 |
| 15.01 |  | (1271.0) | 0.84459 | 0.819 | 15.00 |  | (1270.4) | $0 \cdot 46101$ | 0.883 |
| 25.07 | (0.87986) |  | $0 \cdot 89641$ | 1.49 | $25 \cdot 04$ | (0.34674) |  | $0 \cdot 49133$ | 1.59 |
| 35.08 |  |  | $0 \cdot 45055$ | $2 \cdot 49$ | $35 \cdot 16$ |  |  | 0.52313 | $2 \cdot 65$ |
| $45 \cdot 08$ |  |  | 1.00728 | 4.04 | $45 \cdot 05$ |  |  | 0.55526 | $4 \cdot 49$ |
| 4.86 | 0.08535 | 1268.6 | 0.26347 | 0.590 | 4.86 | 0.97346 | $1268 \cdot 3$ | 0.63796 | 0.427 |
| 15.01 |  | (1270•1) | $0 \cdot 27587$ | 0.921 | 14.95 |  | (1270.7) | $0 \cdot 68003$ | 0.815 |
| 25.04 | (0.26059) |  | $0 \cdot 28880$ | 1.83 | 25.04 | (0.47831) |  | 0.72638 | 1.48 |
| 35.08 |  |  | $0 \cdot 30098$ | $3 \cdot 03$ | 35.02 |  |  | 0.77586 | $2 \cdot 46$ |
| 45.12 |  |  | 0.31274 | $5 \cdot 12$ | $45 \cdot 21$ |  |  | 0.82918 | 4.03 |

* $A$, Mass of $\mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ (g.) with the measured pressure of NO at the temperature nearest $25^{\circ}$ in parentheses.
$\dagger$ Vol. of cell containing NO, with vol. of cell containing the equilibrium mixture in parentheses.
error, it is possible that the sorbed gases, by affecting the surface of the glass in the spiral, could cause errors in the zero readings of the gauge. The deviations mentioned would be removed on extrapolation to zero pressure. The sorption of the polar molecule $\mathrm{NO}_{2}$ is likely to be higher than that of any other species present. The results were therefore plotted for $K_{2}$ as a function of the partial pressure of nitrogen dioxide, and are shown in Fig. 2. The

[^2]Fig. 2. Equilibrium constant for the dissociation of dinitrogen trioxide as a function of the partial pressure of the nitrogen dioxide.

I, $5^{\circ}$; II, $\mathbf{1 5}^{\circ}$; III, $\mathbf{2 5}^{\circ}$; IV, $35^{\circ}$;
V, $\mathbf{4 5} 5^{\circ}$



Fig. 3. The relation between the logarithm of the extrapolated equilibrium constant for the dissociation of dinitrogen trioxide and the reciprocal of the absolute temperature.
circles represent errors of $\pm 4 \%$ from the centre. It is probable that there is a linear relation, all except four of the circles being on the lines which have been drawn ( 2 of these exceptional points are at extremely low pressures).

Table 2 gives the extrapolated values of $K_{2}$ at various temperatures (obtained by the method of least squares) together with the standard deviation $\sigma$. These results are plotted in Fig. 3, giving the expected linear relationship between $\log K_{2}$ and the reciprocal of the absolute temperature. Again, the application of the least-squares method yielded a value of $9527 \pm 96 \mathrm{cal} . \mathrm{mole}^{-1}$ for the heat of reaction. The corresponding entropy change for the reaction was $33 \cdot 25 \pm 0.35 \mathrm{cal}$. $\mathrm{mole}^{-1}$ deg. ${ }^{-1}$.

Table 2. Extrapolated values of $K_{2}$ at various temperatures.

| Temp. | $45.00^{\circ}$ | 35.00 ${ }^{\circ}$ | $25.00^{\circ}$ | $15.00^{\circ}$ | $5 \cdot 00^{\circ}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $K_{2}{ }^{\circ}$ (atm.) | $5 \cdot 193$ | 3.097 | 1.916 | 1.082 | 0.595 |
| $\sigma$ (atm.) | $\pm 0 \cdot 059$ | $\pm 0.041$ | $\pm 0.027$ | $\pm 0.025$ | $\pm 0.018$ |
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